

LUD 5353.7 DIV (10016357)**REMARKS**

In the Examiner Interview Summary of March 29, 2004, the Examiner makes the following statement:

"Applicant was advised that closed language drawn to a nucleotide consisting of SEQ ID NO: 18 and fragments thereof at least 27 nucleotides in length was considered allowable at this time."

Actually, applicants' representative was advised that the 27 nucleotides had to encode the peptide shown to be expressed as a TRA, i.e., defined by nucleotides 70-96 of SEQ ID NO: 18. That is what was agreed to, in connection with the closed language.

Claim 75 has now been so amended.


Claim 78 is also amended to recite vector, rather than vectors.

As the present claims now all recite what the Examiner has stated is allowable, there is no need to address arguments raised in the latest Office Action. These arguments are moot in view of amendment to what is expressly allowable.

A prompt Notice of Allowance is thus believed proper, and is urged.

Respectfully submitted,

FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, L.L.P.



Norman D. Hanson, Esq.
Registration No. 30,946
666 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10103
212-318-3168
212-318-3400 (fax)